### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE see form PCT/ISA/220 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43 pis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year)-see-form-PCT/ISA/210-(second-sheet)-Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION see form PCT/ISA/220 See paragraph 2 below International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) 15.07.2003 PCT/EP2004/007322 05.07.2004 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC B23K9/28, B23K9/32 Applicant SELCO S.R.L. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: 1. Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. Ⅱ Priority ☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2. **FURTHER ACTION** If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. 3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. Authorized Officer Name and mailing address of the ISA:

<u>@</u>)

European Patent Office

D-80298 Munich

Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx; 523656 epmu d

Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Jeggy, T

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-7341



## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/007322

### IAP20 Res'd PUT/PTO 0 3 JAN 2006

		THE COLORS WILLIAM TO DIGHT ZUUD			
_	Box N	lo. I Basis of the opinion			
1. With regard to the <b>language</b> , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application the language in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item.					
	la	his opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following nguage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).			
2.		egard to any <b>nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence</b> disclosed in the international application and sary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:			
	a. type	e of material:			
		a sequence listing			
		table(s) related to the sequence listing			
	b. form	nat of material:			
		in written format			
		in computer readable form			
	c. time	of filing/furnishing:			
		contained in the international application as filed.			
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.			
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.			
3.	ha co	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto s been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional pies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as propriate, were furnished.			

Box No. II						
OX NO. II	Priority					
<ul><li>The following document has not been furnished:</li></ul>						
$\boxtimes$	copy of the earlier ap	plicatio	n whose pi	riority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).		
	translation of the ear	lier app	lication who	ose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).		
Conse neverti	quently it has not bee neless been establish	n possit ed on th	ole to consi ne assumpt	der the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has ion that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.		
2.  This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the phas been found invalid (Rules 43bis.1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the in filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.						
3. Additional observations, if necessary:						
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						
statement						
lovelty (N)		Yes: No:	Claims Claims	3,6-7 1-2,4-5,8		
nventive st	ep (IS)			1-8		
ndustrial ap	oplicability (IA)			1-8		
	Consenevertion  This or has be filing do additional of the	copy of the earlier and translation of the earlier and transla	translation of the earlier application translation of the earlier app Consequently it has not been possite nevertheless been established on the stablished on the stablished and has been found invalid (Rules 43 bis filling date indicated above is considered above is considered above is considered.  Sox No. V Reasoned statement under the statement statement sovelty (N)  Yes: No: No: No: Industrial applicability (IA)  Yes: No: Industrial applicability (IA)	translation of the earlier application whose processing the consequently it has not been possible to considered on the assumption of the earlier application whose processing to considered to the assumption of the earlier application whose processing to considered on the assumption of the earlier possible to considered as if no prior has been found invalid (Rules 43 bis.1 and 64.1 filling date indicated above is considered to be additional observations, if necessary:  Sox No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 industrial applicability; citations and explanation tatement  Soventy (N)  Yes: Claims No: Claims No: Claims Industrial applicability (IA)  Yes: Claims No: Claims		

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

. International application No.

PCT/EP2004/007322

## IAP20 Rec'd PUT/FTO 03 JAN 2006

### Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

V.1\_Cited\_documents----

Reference is made to the following documents :

- D1: GB-A-2 223 919 (T F KELLER & SONS LIMITED) 18 April 1990 (1990-04-18)
- D2: WO 91/15328 A (T F KELLER & SONS LIMITED) 17 October 1991 (1991-10-17)
- D3: US-A-2 424 437 (W.R. DENT) 22 July 1947 (1947-07-22)
- D4: US-A-6 074 296 (C.-C. WU) 13 June 2000 (2000-06-13)
- D5: US-A-3 706 871 (W.W. WITHEROW (deceased) et al) 19 December 1972 (1972-12-19)

#### V.2 Claims 1-8

The document D1 discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document) a portable welder (10; Figures) according to the preamble of claim 1. Further D1 discloses that a handle (14) for gripping and orienting said welder (10) protrudes from said box-like body (11), said electrode supporting clamp (18) being rigidly coupled to said handle (14; Figures).

The subject-matter of claim 1 is therefore not new (Article 33 (2) PCT). Note that the subject-matter of claim 1 is also disclosed in D2 (Figure 1; with part of the power supplying means being located in the box-like body (16), the handle being rigidly connected to the electrode holder 18 through another body part 12).

Dependent claims 2-7 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step (Article 33 (2-3) PCT); see D1 for claims 2 and 4-5; see D2 for claims 2, 4-5 and 8; see D3 for claim 3; see D4 for claim 6; see D5 for claims 7-8.